Building Design and Work at Height transcript

0:14

Hi, my name's Toby and I work for the City of London Corporation and our skyline 0:25 here in the City has undergone significant change over recent years.

0:28

With over 50% of buildings having been redeveloped since 1997 and what's new 0:34

architectural heights have quite literally been achieved in some cases 0:37

that all poses challenges for the facade cleaning and building maintenance 0:42

strategies of the end user.

0:44

We're going to talk about the CDM regulations and how they influence 0:47

building design and how designers need to plan for and minimize work at height 0:52

problems that are commonly encountered by the end user.

0:55

Let's talk to some industry experts. [music]

1:06

A designer would be somebody who is specified and that in fact could be the 1:15

client for example sofa clients I want to particular finish, I want to 1:20

particular roof light, I want something done in a particular way, any fact 1:25

that the client is working as a designer you've also got the the architects 1:30

structural engineers, specialist contractors themselves in 1:35

thinking about how they're going to carry out the work they may actually 1:38

also fall into the into the definition of what is designed under the CDM 1:43

regulations so it's quite a broad spectrum and I would suspect this many 1:48

people designing on construction projects that don't actually realise 1:52

that they are designers.

1:57

The primary responsibility of the designer under the CDM regulations is to 2:01

eliminate the risk if it's not possible to eliminate the risk of working at 2:06

height then part of what the designer then need to do is think about how that 2:10

risk can be mitigated or reduced. There is sometimes a temptation for designers

2:17

to say well let's just use rope access because that's what level were and that's 2:20

what they've already done in the past.

2:23

Whereas rope access carries particular risks that have to be managed. 2:29

I think competences is is an interesting loaded word because its association 2:37

with competence and linked into cards games the CDM Regulations hopefully 2:42

described that now skills knowledge and experience like a key requirement of the 2:47

design function is an understanding of the principles of prevention and making 2:52

sure that their primary responsibility is to eliminate the hazard where they 2:56

can eliminate the risk where they can't and where they can't then working down 3:00

the hierarchy and to do that they may not have in-house the health and safety 3:05

skills, they may have the technical skills to carry out the design that been 3:09

asked to do and there's nothing wrong in principle with them bringing in a health 3:13

and safety specialist who has an understanding of the principles of 3:17

prevention to assist the design function to think about that in a proper way as 3:22

required by the regulations.

3:24

So that's the duties and the theory but what does that mean in practice. Let's 3:30

hear from someone who works in design.

3:45

You must not be just considered in isolation, it must balance up all these 3:51

other factors including fitness for purpose, client brief sustainability, 3:55

structural issues and aesthetics that are in there as much as any of the others 4:00

and the introduction of that in the context of safety is often perceived as 4:06

being not a good balance actually it has to be considered it within that balance 4:11

with all those other factors so as much as I've been working in the CDM area to 4:16

think of health and safety issues that is just one more colt in a wheel of 4:20

lots of colts which architects and designers will have to consider on every project and it must 4:25 make take any higher priority than any of those on the colts in a wheel so I 4:31 think that is the balance of benefit and sacrifice, injury and costs which the 4:38 industry and the HSE and tolerability of risk framework have also explained some 4:45 links within their own website and in previous legislation. 4:48When we start off with a building design we frankly do not think about how we are 4:57 going to clean the windows to start with. That building is dictated by its 5:01 location on the site's proximity to other features, the planning requirements, 5:06 the views, do they all constitute the ingredients for that design and the type 5:11 of facades, the type of roofs are very much part of an envelope which is 5:16 appearing from almost like a sculptural method of analysis which then we have to 5:21 start thinking about having thought about the form how can we physically 5:25 suitably cleanness or access facades. It can't be done from the other way around 5:30 otherwise you end up with the same building every time. A lot of people in 5:34 our industry feel that you just think from a window cleaning perspective that 5:39 you actually dumb down design and you prevent creativity so we're trying to 5:44 encourage creativity with good access so now having established the form we now 5:49 start thinking about this facade access and then looking at the options 5:53 alternatives which there might be a building maintenance unit BMU 5:57 or commute for mobile devices work platform road access or long poles or 6:03 dare I say ladders which have not been banned by the open windows but they're 6:09 not always appropriate so getting that right balance of all of them in the 6:12 context of all the other issues of the design is a very involved process. 6:20 Will very much trying to help empower our project teams to work 6:24 collaboratively and not just in their own arena would it be structural

6:29

services architecture but actually get them all together with the client 6:32

ideally with the client's FMC. The schools of architecture trying to 6:37

help the the architects to have these skills to actually bring you the whole 6:41

team together to come up with a coordinated solution embracing all the 6:46

professions within the industry into one coherent package and give the 6:52

disempowerment which is what the regulations actually ask the team to 6:57

do within this this term of reasonable practicability.

7:03

Whilst there are occasions when design gets it wrong there are occasions 7:07

when it works. I think the best example I can give for London next to use the Coliseum.

7:14

We had an interesting project at the London Coliseum whereby a hundred 7:19

year old building was being refurbished. The dome originally had light bulbs put 7:23

in it which right at the top of a very tall building quite an exposed location 7:28

so one light bulb goes we want something out there and replace it. 7:32

The designer had to think about how can we get up there and replace the light 7:37

bulbs that we're going to refurbish. So we looked at various options. We looked at 7:40

getting a mobile-elevated work platform into St Martin's lane. The cost and the 7:46

difficulty of access to this town within St Martins lane was disproportionate to 7:52

change a light bulb. The designer very helpfully took a step back and say well 7:56

actually what is it are we trying to achieve. Until one day our lighting 8:00

designer said have we thought about fibre optic. Lets have fibre optic cables which 8:05

will give the same image and immediately eliminates all future maintenance. And 8:11

this really got us into a thought process - well road access is perfectly suitable for 8:14

certain parts of the tower, fibre optics for the very top it where you couldn't get 8:18

rope access and then being used in conjunction if on existing buildings then 8:23

why not on new buildings. And I think in terms of carrying out the hierarchy 8:29

of the responsibilities the designer has to think about eliminating the future need 8:34

to go up and maintain these roofs. I think is the best example and come up. 8:38

You've heard from the experts and their views one that shed by us as the 8:42

regulator for the City of London. Building designers have a key critical 8:47

role to play in eliminating or reducing risk so far as is reasonably practicable 8:52

in the first instance. If you're planning a project in the City at all and you've 8:56

got any questions please feel free to get in touch.

English

AllFrom City of London Corporation